

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,590	KOCHHAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cathy K. Worley	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Cathy K. Worley.

(2) Bob Barrett.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim #9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to change the dependency of claim 9 because it currently depends from claim 6 which has been canceled. In a telephone message, left for the examiner on Nov. 16, 2006, the Attorney agreed to an amendment that will make claim 9 depend from claim 8. .